

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 09/974,044	Applicant(s) COHEN ET AL.	
	Examiner Maureen M. Wallenhorst	Art Unit 1743	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) Maureen M. Wallenhorst.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Anthony Sitko (Appl. Rep.).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 23 March 2004
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*1, 2, 10*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*It was agreed to make minor changes in claims 1, 2 and 10 in order to place the application in condition for allowance. See Examiner's Amendment.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)